

ORDER SHEET
WEST BENGAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

Present-

**The Hon'ble JUSTICE RANJIT KUMAR BAG
& The Hon'ble DR. SUBESH KUMAR DAS**

Case No – OA 734 OF 2018

Ram Chandra Ghosh & Others Vs The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
04 <hr/> 27.06.2019	<p><i>For the Applicants : Mr. A. Chakraborti, Learned Advocate.</i></p> <p><i>For the State Respondents : Mr. S. Ghosh, Learned Advocate.</i></p> <p><i>The applicants have prayed for direction upon the respondents for enhancement of monthly honorarium from Rs. 400/- to Rs.3,000/- p.m.in terms of the report submitted by Chief Medical of Health, Hooghly.</i></p> <p><i>The contention of the applicants is that they have been engaged as Community Health Guide under Chief Medical Officer of Health on receiving honorarium @ Rs. 400/- p.m. The further contention of the applicants is that on March 11, 2008 the respondent no. 3, Chief Medical Officer of Health, Hooghly intimated the applicants about payment of enhanced honorarium @ Rs. 3,000/- p.m. The grievance of the applicants is that the respondent no. 3 has not paid enhanced remuneration of Rs. 3,000/- p.m. to the applicants.</i></p> <p><i>Having heard Learned Counsel representing both parties and on consideration of the materials on record, we find that the applicants have not produced any document to establish that they were engaged either by the respondent no. 3 or by any other government official as</i></p>	

ORDER SHEET

Ram Chandra Ghosh & Others

Form No.

Vs.

The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Case No. OA 734 OF 2018

Community Health Guide. Nor have the applicants produced any scrap of paper to establish that they have been receiving honorarium @ Rs. 400/- p.m. from the State Exchequer. What transpires from the letter dated March 11, 2008 issued by the respondent no. 3 is that the applicants have been discharging the duty as voluntary health guide and it was decided in the meeting of Health and Family Welfare Committee of the District that the voluntary health guide should get remuneration @ Rs. 3,000/- p.m. In the absence of any evidence to establish that the applicants have been receiving remuneration from the State Exchequer and in the absence of any document to establish that the applicants were engaged by the respondent no. 3 or any other government official working under him, we are unable to accept the contention made on behalf of the applicants that they are entitled to get enhanced honorarium from the State Exchequer.

In view of our above findings, we do not find any merit in the present application.

The present application, is, thus dismissed.

(S.K.DAS)
Member (A)

(R.K.BAG)
Member (J)

 $H.S$